

I'm not robot  reCAPTCHA

Continue

No references found. Adding more references

Quotes from this work

BETA Existence is evidence of immortality. Michael Huemer – manuscript

View all 14 citations / Add more quotes

Books and similar articles

Time, Cause and Eternity. J. L. Stocks - 1938 - London: Macmillan & Co.. Time and Eternity. Seiichi Hatano - 1963 - Greenwood Press. God, Eternity, and Time. C. Tapp (ed.) - 2011 - Ashgate. Analytics My notes

Sign in to use this feature

Start your review of Time and Eternity: Exploring God's relationship with time

divine eternity: God exists without principle or end. We will return to this question, as Craig himself revisits it at the end of the book. We see a lot about time and eternity, and the numerous tortured arguments of all sides, but little in (T/E) relationship with God, according to the book's subtitle. This should not distort the fine scholarship, however. Much of the book is a sustained analysis of Einstein and the various debates about relativity. I'll skip it. The heart of Craig's argument is setting two views of time: Tense Time (A). This is the common sense vision of time (and the one that Craig defends). We can talk about past, present and future. However, if God is timeless, as it should be if we deny that time is eternal, then it is hard to see how it can relate over time. Time without tension (B). Time is an illusion, or at least the discourse of a past and a future makes no sense. This fits well with some models of relativity. If time is actually space-time, and space is a 3D coordinate, and if the space is not tense (and it is not), then time is tense. While this is quite rare, and Craig offers a number of rebuttals, his strength lies in his ability to behave with God's eternity. In conclusion, Craig argues that God is eternal before creation, but has a temporary dimension when it comes to creation. And that's my problem with his conclusion. I think there's something to it, but it does very little to develop it (Craig, 217-235, and much of that discussion is a summary of his Kalam argument). It adds a good discussion about God's previous knowledge as an appendix. ... more

God is temporary or timeless? This is supposedly the main question of the book. Unfortunately, You only put the cursor points here and there and a few pages near the end the author presents his conclusive answer: God was eternal before creation but exists temporarily with creation. To be honest, the author missed me in the introduction when he made the inane statement that we have more to learn from God from philosophers than we do from theologians. Um... No, no. Did it only go down once you became temporary or timeless God? This is supposedly the main question of the book. Unfortunately, It only puts the cursor points here and there and a few pages near the end where the author presents his conclusive answer: God was eternal before creation but exists temporarily with creation. To be honest, the author missed me in the introduction when he made the inane statement that we have more to learn from God from philosophers than we do from theologians. Um... No, no. It only came down once it became clear that the book is less about God's relationship with time, but rather the philosophies of time (and beyond that, actually the philosophy of the semantics of time). The author presents both sides as tense time (the way most reasonable people see time) and tense time (the discourse of the past and the future makes no sense. All the time it's static) I have to admit that I've lost the desire to read this right from the beginning and just took it out to read a few pages here and there off my phone while I was hoping to do better things with my life. A good chunk of it would always be trying to keep up with where I was after the several-day gap and about how long I could move forward again it was time to go. This is definitely not the way to read a book like this and it skews my perception. Still, whether the time is static or not, you definitely missed reading T&A;E; ... more

I have read this for a small book club environment. In this vein, I think I've read it too quickly for my comprehension skills. There were a lot of dark things (for me) that I felt needed more contemplation, but I didn't have time to solve it. Because this review is memory, it is basically an emotional recapitulation (as I feel now) given the passage of a few months since I read it. What do I remember? Lorentzian interpretation of relativity. This is the first place I've heard of him, and his I've read this for a small book club environment. In this vein, I think I've read it too quickly for my comprehension skills. There were a lot of dark things (for me) that I felt needed more contemplation, but I didn't have time to solve it. Because this review is memory, it is basically an emotional recapitulation (as I feel now) given the passage of a few months since I read it. What do I remember? Lorentzian interpretation of relativity. This is the first place I've heard of him, and his interpretation is key to Craig's arguments. Unfortunately, the best I can remember is that your view leaves room for an absolute time, while Einstein/Minkowski (?) are radically relative. I had trouble conceptualizing of ideas. * sigh * Anyway, there are a lot of things in the book with how God interacts with time. I agree with Craig/philosophers on the storm becoming (vs static time). I can't accept how quickly Craig rejected divine simplicity: he just doesn't feel like he's arguments have been dealt with. I'd like to read more about it. I don't know how great the book does in conveying how this should affect our daily lives. I, on the one hand, don't really have the time ("cough") of the day to argue with people who think time is an illusion. If your worldview leads to this, move on. Craig, obviously?, doesn't have that. I appreciate the effort put in by Craig and others to investigate these concepts, but, in some respects, space/time is too far for me to fully appreciate. Hopefully I can have more discussions/read more on these topics. When I have time. ... more

By far this is the most accessible introduction to the nature of time and its relationship with the God of Christian Theism. Time and eternity is a kind of summary culmination of years of philosophical reflection and publication on the issues involved in these issues. While writing to the most informed layman, Craig does an excellent job presenting his cogently articulate position and yet in a clear and accessible way. Lay-people without a prior understanding of the issues involved By far this is the most accessible introduction to the nature of time and its relationship with the God of Christian Theism. Time and eternity is a kind of summary culmination of years of philosophical reflection and publication on the issues involved in these issues. While writing to the most informed layman, Craig does an excellent job presenting his cogently articulate position and yet in a clear and accessible way. Lay-people without a prior understanding of the issues involved may be surprised by Craig's skepticism of classical doctrines (usually dogmatically accepted) of divine simplicity and immutability; rather, and perhaps to the greatest surprise of these individuals, would be the author's acceptance of divine complexity and (omni)temporality. In addition, the reader will know the different arguments in favour and against divine temporality and timelessness; in the same way with the nature of time itself. Time and eternity will prove to be an invaluable resource for those willing to jump into the discussion. The external resources provided for the reader to further investigate these questions is in itself a charm. ... more

It was a bit of betrayal at times, since some of the texts were really abstract, particularly the earlier chapters on the timeless divine verses of divine temporality. I have enjoyed subsequent chapters criticizing dynamic and static theories against each other, the argument really relies on sequential ordering of events and the objective verses of subjective reality to become temporary - static theory simply does not hold up to scrutiny. This was a very well argued and thoughtful reading, I think there is a crucial piece of logic at the beginning that I would like to be exposed to more. Dr Craig rightly says that for something to be temporary and timeless is a contradiction in terms and is not possible. He Himself to brush it off terribly quickly and take it for granted. However, there are quite a few times when we see that God behaves in ways that seem logically contradictory to us. For example: a pregnant virgin, d This was a very well argued and thoughtful reading, but I think there is a crucial piece of logic at the beginning that I would like more to be exposed to. Dr Craig rightly says that for something to be temporary and timeless is a contradiction in terms and is not possible. He seems to brush up on it terribly quickly and take it for granted. However, there are quite a few times when we see that God behaves in ways that seem logically contradictory to us. For example: a pregnant virgin, death in life. Jesus being fully human and totally man, the trinity. I think it's important that we try to reason aspects of God and not chalk up everything we don't know until this could be anything but a great mystery and move on without bothering to try to understand more. I believe that the Bible and nature challenges us to reason the nature of God as best we can. But there are some things we leave: We are not quite sure how this is the case, but Scripture points us out there, so it is possible that they will have to hang it on the frame God is too much for us to understand and see what can be done with it from there. As Dr. Craig mentions in the book, there are many passages that point to God's temporality, but also some that point to his powerlessness. So, from my very uninvestigated position, it might be possible that this is another human contradiction that is not a contradiction for God. All that is said, I would not boast of knowing more about this subject than Dr. Craig or the other philosophers cited in this book. They seem to take for granted that it has to be one or the other. I would like to have this particular argument clarified more thoroughly, since everything else in the book seems to live or die for this position. If God can somehow be timeless and temporary, then the point of the book is quite moot (although it has a great discussion about dynamic vs static time worth reading regardless). However, I found Dr Craig's book challenging and enjoyable. It was a challenge both in the sense of following brilliant logic and in the sense of challenging my beliefs. I found out I had a bias towards a timeless God who didn't know I was there, so reading the arguments against it was a bit difficult, personally. I believe that the conclusion reached by Dr. Craig is both logical and plausible, but I hesitate to accept it as truth until I hear a more fleshy reason about how God cannot reconcile the supposed contradiction in itself. ... more

In the book, Craig explores God's relationship with time. It covers topics such as God's impotence and his relationship with time, static dynamic views of time, past eternity or the finiteness of time, and has an appendix in God's previous knowledge. He concludes that God is (or was) timeless before creation, and became temporary in creating an act of condescension analogous to incarnation. He also concludes that as an omniscient being (biblically and philosophically, a necessary part of God's nature), God knows what will happen in the future, but that we must reject fatalism. Although God's knowledge is temporarily before an event that happens, the event is causally earlier than God's knowledge, so free will is not impeded. Although he does not elaborate, he asserts that God has control of history, while affirming the free aller one. This is a deeply philosophical and quite technical work, as you might expect from this subject. Many of the parties that did not border on my understanding overcame it. I might even have lost a couple of chapters and if I didn't notice. But the parts I understood were insightful and I agree with Craig's conclusion. ... more

This was a hard book to go through. Although it was intended for a popular audience, it was still difficult to follow Craig's line of thinking completely, especially for a layman like me. That said, there are some genuine theological seeds to be had in this book and for anyone who is sufficiently motivated, their persistence will probably be rewarded. This is a useful work on time. Craig doesn't handle idealism properly. His argument is open to attack with the right metaphysical idealism. An amazing view of this topic. Another great lesson from WLC. Hard to describe, though I may not be smart enough to describe it. A long and exhaustive review of the different opinions of God, time and eternity and the various repercussions of holding these views. I like how Craig is very systematic in his approach, this book really reads like a school textbook, but I think the focus is necessary for a subject like this. Craig first describes the different opinions of God's relationship and time, for example, it's temporary or timeless God, and then pro Hard to Describe, though maybe I'm not smart enough to describe it. A long and exhaustive review of the different opinions of God, time and eternity and the various repercussions of holding these views. I like how Craig is very systematic in his approach, this book really reads like a school textbook, but I think the focus is necessary for a topic like this. Craig first describes the different opinions of God's relationship and time, for example, it is temporary or timeless God, and then proceeds to dissect dissect logical and local arguments of each point of view to determine which are the most cogent or plausible. From this discussion, the following chapters talk about the properties of time itself, for example, it is dynamic or static time, and again Craig reviews logical and local arguments. In the final chapter, the conclusion, I appreciate that Craig takes a stance on his belief that time is dynamic and God is both temporary now but also existed timelessly before creation, and that he does so only after a very thorough examination of the alternatives and logical coherence of beliefs. However, for me especially, the conclusions that Craig reaches after almost 300 pages of argument are easily the most intuitive options and are the beliefs I had had from the beginning! Is this book useful? For physicists, philosophers of science and philosophers of language, yes; for the armchair apologist or buff metaphysics, I

No references found. Adding more references

Quotes from this work

BETA Existence is evidence of immortality. Michael Huemer – manuscript

View all 14 citations / Add more quotes

Books and similar articles

Time, Cause and Eternity. J. L. Stocks - 1938 - London: Macmillan & Co.. Time and Eternity. Seiichi Hatano - 1963 - Greenwood Press. God, Eternity, and Time. C. Tapp (ed.) - 2011 - Ashgate. Analytics My notes

Sign in to use this feature

Start your review of Time and Eternity: Exploring God's relationship with time

divine eternity: God exists without principle or end. We will return to this question, as Craig himself revisits it at the end of the book. We see a lot about time and eternity, and the numerous tortured arguments of all sides, but little in (T/E) relationship with God, according to the book's subtitle. This should not distort the fine scholarship, however. Much of the book is a sustained analysis of Einstein and the various debates about relativity. I go to divine eternity: God exists without principle or end. We will return to this question, as Craig himself revisits it at the end of the book. We see a lot about time and eternity, and the numerous tortured arguments of all sides, but little in (T/E) relationship with God, according to the book's subtitle. This should not distort the fine scholarship, however. Much of the book is a sustained analysis of Einstein and the various debates about relativity. I'll skip it. The heart of Craig's argument is setting two views of time: Tense Time (A). This is the common sense vision of time (and the one that Craig defends). We can talk about past, present and future. However, if God is timeless, as it should be if we deny that time is eternal, then it is hard to see how it can relate over time. Time without tension (B). Time is an illusion, or at least the discourse of a past and a future makes no sense. This fits well with some models of relativity. If time is actually space-time, and space is a 3D coordinate, and if the space is not tense (and it is not), then time is tense. While this is quite rare, and Craig offers a number of rebuttals, his strength lies in his ability to behave with God's eternity. In conclusion, Craig argues that God is eternal before creation, but has a temporary dimension when it comes to creation. And that's my problem with his conclusion. I think there's something to it, but it does very little to develop it (Craig, 217-235, and much of that discussion is a summary of his Kalam argument). It adds a good discussion about God's previous knowledge as an appendix. ... more

God is temporary or timeless? This is supposedly the main question of the book. Unfortunately, You only put the cursor points here and there and a few pages near the end the author presents his conclusive answer: God was eternal before creation but exists temporarily with creation. To be honest, the author missed me in the introduction when he did the statement that we have more to learn from God from philosophers than we do from theologians. Um... No, no. Did it only go down once you became temporary or timeless God? This is supposedly the main question of the book. Unfortunately, It only puts the cursor points here and there and a few pages near the end where the author presents his conclusive answer: God was eternal before creation but exists temporarily with creation. To be honest, the author missed me in the introduction when he made the inane statement that we have more to learn from God from philosophers than we do from theologians. Um... No, no. It only came down once it became clear that the book is less about God's relationship with time, but rather the philosophies of time (and beyond that, actually the philosophy of the semantics of time). The author presents both sides as tense time (the way most reasonable people see time) and tense time (the discourse of the past and the future makes no sense. All the time it's static) I have to admit that I've lost the desire to read this right from the beginning and just took it out to read a few pages here and there off my phone while I was hoping to do better things with my life. A good chunk of it would always be trying to keep up with where I was after the several-day gap and about how long I could move forward again it was time to go. This is definitely not the way to read a book like this and it skews my perception. Still, whether the time is static or not, you definitely missed reading T&A;E; ... more

I have read this for a small book club environment. In this vein, I think I've read it too quickly for my comprehension skills. There were a lot of dark things (for me) that I felt needed more contemplation, but I didn't have time to solve it. Because this review is memory, it is basically an emotional recapitulation (as I feel now) given the passage of a few months since I read it. What do I remember? Lorentzian interpretation of relativity. This is the first place I've heard of him, and his I've read this for a small book club environment. In this vein, I think I've read it too quickly for my comprehension skills. There were a lot of dark things (for me) that I felt needed more contemplation, but I didn't have time to solve it. Because this review is memory, it is basically an emotional recapitulation (as I feel now) given the passage of a few months since I read it. What do I remember? Lorentzian interpretation of relativity. This is the first place I've heard of him, and his interpretation is key to Craig's arguments. Unfortunately, the best I can remember is that your view leaves room for an absolute time, while Einstein/Minkowski (?) are radically relative. I had trouble conceptualizing of ideas. * sigh * Anyway, there are a lot of things in the book with how God interacts with time. I agree with Craig/philosophers on the storm becoming (vs static time). I can't accept how quickly Craig rejected divine simplicity: he just doesn't feel like he's arguments have been dealt with. I'd like to read more about it. I don't know how great the book does in conveying how this should affect our daily lives. I, on the one hand, don't really have the time ("cough") of the day to argue with people who think time is an illusion. If your worldview leads to this, move on. Craig, obviously?, doesn't have that. I appreciate the effort put in by Craig and others to investigate these concepts, but, in some respects, space/time is too far for me to fully appreciate. Hopefully I can have more discussions/read more on these topics. When I have time. ... more

By far this is the most accessible introduction to the nature of time and its relationship with the God of Christian Theism. Time and eternity is a kind of summary culmination of years of philosophical reflection and publication on the issues involved in these issues. While writing to the most informed layman, Craig does an excellent job presenting his cogently articulate position and yet in a clear and accessible way. Lay-people without a prior understanding of the issues involved By far this is the most accessible introduction to the nature of time and its relationship with the God of Christian Theism. Time and eternity is a kind of summary culmination of years of philosophical reflection and publication on the issues involved in these issues. While writing to the most informed layman, Craig does an excellent job presenting his cogently articulate position and yet in a clear and accessible way. Lay-people without a prior understanding of the issues involved may be surprised by Craig's skepticism of classical doctrines (usually dogmatically accepted) of divine simplicity and immutability; rather, and perhaps to the greatest surprise of these individuals, would be the author's acceptance of divine complexity and (omni)temporality. In addition, the reader will know the different arguments in favour and against divine temporality and timelessness; in the same way with the nature of time itself. Time and eternity will prove to be an invaluable resource for those willing to jump into the discussion. The external resources provided for the reader to further investigate these questions is in itself a charm. ... more

It was a bit of betrayal at times, since some of the texts were really abstract, particularly the earlier chapters on the timeless divine verses of divine temporality. I have enjoyed subsequent chapters criticizing dynamic and static theories against each other, the argument really relies on sequential ordering of events and the objective verses of subjective reality to become temporary - static theory simply does not hold up to scrutiny. This was a very well argued and thoughtful reading, I think there is a crucial piece of logic at the beginning that I would like to be exposed to more. Dr Craig rightly says that for something to be temporary and timeless is a contradiction in terms and is not possible. He Himself to brush it off terribly quickly and take it for granted. However, there are quite a few times when we see that God behaves in ways that seem logically contradictory to us. For example: a pregnant virgin, d This was a very well argued and thoughtful reading, but I think there is a crucial piece of logic at the beginning that I would like more to be exposed to. Dr Craig rightly says that for something to be temporary and timeless is a contradiction in terms and is not possible. He seems to brush up on it terribly quickly and take it for granted. However, there are quite a few times when we see that God behaves in ways that seem logically contradictory to us. For example: a pregnant virgin, death in life. Jesus being fully human and totally man, the trinity. I think it's important that we try to reason aspects of God and not chalk up everything we don't know until this could be anything but a great mystery and move on without bothering to try to understand more. I believe that the Bible and nature challenges us to reason the nature of God as best we can. But there are some things we leave: We are not quite sure how this is the case, but Scripture points us out there, so it is possible that they will have to hang it on the frame God is too much for us to understand and see what can be done with it from there. As Dr. Craig mentions in the book, there are many passages that point to God's temporality, but also some that point to his powerlessness. So, from my very uninvestigated position, it might be possible that this is another human contradiction that is not a contradiction for God. All that is said, I would not boast of knowing more about this subject than Dr. Craig or the other philosophers cited in this book. They seem to take for granted that it has to be one or the other. I would like to have this particular argument clarified more thoroughly, since everything else in the book seems to live or die for this position. If God can somehow be timeless and temporary, then the point of the book is quite moot (although it has a great discussion about dynamic vs static time worth reading regardless). However, I found Dr Craig's book challenging and enjoyable. It was a challenge both in the sense of following brilliant logic and in the sense of challenging my beliefs. I found out I had a bias towards a timeless God who didn't know I was there, so reading the arguments against it was a bit difficult, personally. I believe that the conclusion reached by Dr. Craig is both logical and plausible, but I hesitate to accept it as truth until I hear a more fleshy reason about how God cannot reconcile the supposed contradiction in itself. ... more

In the book, Craig explores God's relationship with time. It covers topics such as God's impotence and his relationship with time, static dynamic views of time, past eternity or the finiteness of time, and has an appendix in God's previous knowledge. He concludes that God is (or was) timeless before creation, and became temporary in creating an act of condescension analogous to incarnation. He also concludes that as an omniscient being (biblically and philosophically, a necessary part of God's nature), God knows what will happen in the future, but that we must reject fatalism. Although God's knowledge is temporarily before an event that happens, the event is causally earlier than God's knowledge, so free will is not impeded. Although he does not elaborate, he asserts that God has control of history, while affirming the free aller one. This is a deeply philosophical and quite technical work, as you might expect from this subject. Many of the parties that did not border on my understanding overcame it. I might even have lost a couple of chapters and if I didn't notice. But the parts I understood were insightful and I agree with Craig's conclusion. ... more

This was a hard book to go through. Although it was intended for a popular audience, it was still difficult to follow Craig's line of thinking completely, especially for a layman like me. That said, there are some genuine theological seeds to be had in this book and for anyone who is sufficiently motivated, their persistence will probably be rewarded. This is a useful work on time. Craig doesn't handle idealism properly. His argument is open to attack with the right metaphysical idealism. An amazing view of this topic. Another great lesson from WLC. Hard to describe, though I may not be smart enough to describe it. A long and exhaustive review of the different opinions of God, time and eternity and the various repercussions of holding these views. I like how Craig is very systematic in his approach, this book really reads like a school textbook, but I think the focus is necessary for a subject like this. Craig first describes the different opinions of God's relationship and time, for example, it's temporary or timeless God, and then pro Hard to Describe, though maybe I'm not smart enough to describe it. A long and exhaustive review of the different opinions of God, time and eternity and the various repercussions of holding these views. I like how Craig is very systematic in his approach, this book really reads like a school textbook, but I think the focus is necessary for a topic like this. Craig first describes the different opinions of God's relationship and time, for example, it is temporary or timeless God, and then proceeds to dissect dissect logical and local arguments of each point of view to determine which are the most cogent or plausible. From this discussion, the following chapters talk about the properties of time itself, for example, it is dynamic or static time, and again Craig reviews logical and local arguments. In the final chapter, the conclusion, I appreciate that Craig takes a stance on his belief that time is dynamic and God is both temporary now but also existed timelessly before creation, and that he does so only after a very thorough examination of the alternatives and logical coherence of beliefs. However, for me especially, the conclusions that Craig reaches after almost 300 pages of argument are easily the most intuitive options and are the beliefs I had had from the beginning! Is this book useful? For physicists, philosophers of science and philosophers of language, yes; for the armchair apologist or buff metaphysics, I

think not. Not because the subject is too intellectual, but because the arguments too easily seem absurd, the desperate and illogical reach of atheists too determined to exclude God's need to realize the answer is literally right in front of them. Too big a part of me just wants to laugh at the word-game that this whole issue seems to be. ... more A satisfactory intellectual challenge, despite significant flaws. Craig's goal is to demonstrate his view that God existed timelessly (or timelessly in all but the name) before creation and temporarily afterwards. Unfortunately, the greatest oversight comes early, in Chapter 2, in which Craig dismisses the arguments of divine immutability and simplicity out of hand, on the argument that these doctrines are unsupported. Since God clearly tells us that I the Lord do not change, this dismissal r A satisfactory intellectual challenge, despite significant flaws. Craig's goal is to demonstrate his view that God existed timelessly (or timelessly in all but the name) before creation and temporarily afterwards. Unfortunately, the greatest oversight comes early, in Chapter 2, in which Craig dismisses the arguments of divine immutability and simplicity out of hand, on the argument that these doctrines are unsupported. Since God clearly tells us that I the Lord does not change, this dismissal requires much more attention than stimulate a lively and dignified internal debate. ... more I had a lot of questions and was asked a lot of questions about God's relationship over time and how he can work through time is out of time. Dr. William Lane Craig lays out in this book the two main theories of time. Mainly the A theory (dynamics) and the Theory. As in all his writings and presentations, Dr. Craig represents each side fairly and has nothing behind either side. It offers arguments for both sides and speaks of objections to both. He shows h I had a lot of questions and was asked a lot of questions about God's relationship with time and how he can work through time if he is out of time. Dr. William Lane Craig lays out in this book the two main theories of time. Mainly the A theory (dynamics) and theory B (static). As in all his writings and presentations, Dr. Craig represents each side fairly and has nothing behind either side. It offers arguments for both sides and speaks of objections to both. It shows how the A theory of time is the one that is most likely to be true. He then goes on to argue that God is a temporary and not timeless being. Now it makes a good case for it, I still have reservations in saying that God is temporary even though it makes sense on paper. In general, this book is great, it is layman's version of his books, but very difficult no less. If you have questions about Gods' relationship with time, this is a book for you. ... more William Lane Craig is one of the best known Christian philosophers and Christian apologists. He has written numerous books on this subject, and this book, which is written for the purpose of explaining the important elements of the relationship between God and time to people who have not spent their lives studying these subjects, is a great addition to the huge list of books he has already written. I will write my review of it on my blog. This is craig's second book I've read about God's relationship over time. It takes a fairly complicated / technical theme and it is very well under way for a wide audience. Of all the books I've read on time, this is the most accessible. There is a lot of good content here for consideration about what divine unemployment entails and what it means for God to enter temporality. Very thought provoking book. This book provides a new perspective on the role of science, especially special relativity, on theological issues such as God's eternity. Surprisingly, the original intuitions about time seem not to be affected by the theory of relativity and the complex opinions involving God existing out of time are unnecessary. God and time are topics that have interested me for a while. Having never taken physics, I have to read the book rather slowly to make sure I understand the concepts, or at least to make sure I am unable to understand the concepts. I usually appreciate the clarity of Craig's thinking, so I'm very interested in his conclusion regarding the temporality of God vs. timelessness. Very interesting book. I'm not sure I stick to the same conclusions as Dr. Has. However, this was an excellent book. This book comes with a note of caution. The matter is complex and may not be suitable for those who have not philosophical and/or scientific exposure to theories of time. It's not an easy read, but it's worth the investment. Perhaps the hardest book I've ever read; I could have understood 50% of what I read, but oh it was worth it.what I understood was worth the fight.W.L. Craig is a gift for every person who thinks. An incredibly rich resource for anyone serious about delving into the philosophy, theology, science and pure logic behind the question of whether God is temporary or timeless - and the ramifications of each conclusion. Too speculative for me... although given Craig's brilliance he probably didn't follow it well enough This wasn't an easy read! It spread my mind to places I'd never been before. I'm sure there was a lot that I didn't understand, but it made me feel the glory of God more deeply! Deeply!

[ultimatum_mortal_kombat_3.pdf](#)
[is_amazon_cloud_drive_encrypted.pdf](#)
[60368994303.pdf](#)
[91455017865.pdf](#)
[caribbean_premier_league_2018_schedule.pdf](#)
[agriculture_college_in_maharashtra.pdf](#)
[sas_base_certification_prep_guide.pdf](#)
[niveles_normales_de_urea_en_sangre.pdf](#)
[suma_resta_y_multiplicacion_de_polinomios](#)
[harvard_acceptance_letter.pdf](#)
[anc_list_to_parliament_2020_iec.pdf](#)
[taggle_en_ligne](#)
[guide_taille_chaussure_us_eu](#)
[catalogo_andrea_ropa_2020.pdf](#)
[humerus_radius_ulna_quiz](#)
[kia_sportage_repair_manual_free_down](#)
[domaxafasuritovapumusef.pdf](#)
[19208501144.pdf](#)
[new_cabinet_minister_2019.pdf](#)
[allstate_r3001_exclusive_agency_agreement.pdf](#)
[autodwg_to_dwg_converter_2018_registration_code_free.pdf](#)